**Community Benefit Project Selection Rubric**

**For Community Advisory Board Rating of Proposed Projects**

**PROJECT NAME:**

**JUSTICE CORPS COHORT:**

IDENTIFIED BY (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

□ Justice Corps members □ Community Advisory Board □ Outside Organization

**This rubric will help identify and prioritize projects for the NYC Justice Corps. Feel free to refer to Project Selection Rubric Guide for detail on all criteria. Please rate this project according to the following criteria:**

**Project Selection Criteria Scale**

1. Rate the extent to which the project will meet an **unmet and valued community need** from 0 to 5 based on the following descriptions:

**Does NOT meet community need Meets valued community need**

**0 1 2 3 4 5**

2. Rate the **visibility** of the project from 1 to 5.

**NOT visible Highly Visible to a significant portion of the community**

**0 1 2 3 4 5**

3. Rate the extent to which the project is **meaningful** from 0 to 5.

**Not meaningful Very meaningful for this community**

**0 1 2 3 4 5**

4. Rate the **lasting impact** of the project from 0 to 5.

**Impact will be temporary Impact will be very lasting**

(weeks or a few months) (many months or years)

**0 1 2 3 4 5**

5. Rate the extent to which the project will **provide skills** to the participants that will be valuable in the labor market.

**Does NOT provide marketable skills Provides specific, “in-demand”**

**skills**

**0 1 2 3 4 5**

6. Rate the **achievability** of the project from 0 to 5.

**Not achievable in the short term Very Achievable**

(will take more than a year) (will take 3 months or less)

**0 1 2 3 4 5**

7. Rate the **environmental soundness** of the project from 0 to 5.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Does NOT promote the green economy** |  | **Promotes the green economy** |
| **and/or pollutes the environment** |  | **and/or does not pollute the** |
|  |  | **environment** |
| **0** | **NA** | **5** |

 **SUBTOTAL**

**Bonus Section\***

Does the project provide opportunities for Justice Corps members to enter related training programs or apprenticeships? **If yes, add 3 points**

**GRAND TOTAL**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

 **HIGHEST PRIORITY** (**31 points or higher**): This received 5 in three categories and 4 or less in the other four categories but received bonus points qualifying it as a project is a high priority that should definitely be implemented by the current cohort.

 **MEDIUM-HIGH PRIORITY**: (**25 - 29 points**): This project received 4 in most categories, may have had 3 in some but received bonus points deeming it a good project that should be considered for the current cohort.

 **LOW PRIORITY (17 – 24 points)**: This project received on average three in most categories deeming it a good idea, but requires more design work before it is ready to go forward.

 **LOWEST PRIORITY (Under 17 points, or otherwise inappropriate for any other reason)**: This project received 2 or less in most categories and is not right for the NYC Justice Corps.

COMMENTS